N SEARCH OF immortality, humans created companies, which would carry on their legacy forever. Kongo Gumi, a Japanese construction company, lived 1,428 years before it succumbed to debt in 2006. There are a few thousand-yearyoung companies around.

The life of a company is in danger. The average life of S&P 500 companies has reportedly reduced from 90 years to 18 years over the last century. A 2015 research reveals that the average life of publiclytraded companies, considering acquisitions, mergers and bankruptcy, is about 10 years. Thus, a company having indefinite life now lives shorter than a human! This begsthe question: Whose life is it anyway?

Companies are engines of growth. They are a hope of prosperity for the posterity. They often have an organisational capital over and above their liquidation values. Closure of a company destroys the hope and organisational capital. So, it is necessary to rescue a company from premature death, and nurse it back to normal life.

The life of a company has three enemies. One, the enemy within. A company is an amalgam of many stakeholders. Each stakeholder has a unique function, with a distinct set of rights, interests and level of engagement with the company. The interests of one stakeholder may conflict with those of another and/or of the company. Stakeholders may work at cross-purposes,

Whose company is it anyway?

The IBC provides a new lifeline to a company when it faces serious threat to life



The author is chairperson, Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India

or against company interest. Some leave the company at the earliest sign of distress. In their drive to maximise upside for them while enjoying limited liability, shareholders may expose the company and otherstakeholderstounlimited liabilities. The society bears the brunt of unlimited liability such as those arising from Bhopal gas tragedy or Satyam fiasco.

Such conduct of stakeholders can benefit a set of stakeholders, often at the cost of another, the company and the society. Persistent uneven sharing of losses and gains endangers the life of the company.

Independent directors, keypersonnel, regulation of related-party transactions, protection of minority interest, financial and secretarial audit, timely and accurate disclosures about material matters, taxes and subsidies, CSR-collectively referred to as corporate governance-endeavour to synchronise and balance the interests of stakeholders, subordinate the interests of immediate stakeholders to those of the company, and establish precedence of interests of the society over those of the company. Some jurisdictions have codified these norms through codes for corporate

governance to protect companies.

The second enemy is unfair battles at the marketplace. For example, a company that does not have financial muscle to sell its product below cost, cannot survive in a market where a dominant company sells its product below cost. The competition law prohibits predatory pricing. A company cannot survive if its cost of capital is high as compared to another company that manipulates market for its securities. Securities laws regulate the capital market to prevent any kind of manipulation. A company that dutifully pays corporate tax

on its profits, cannot survive if another company in the same business dodges taxes. The rule of law ensures that all companies get a similar tax treatment.

The third, and fatal, enemy is competition and innovation. A company loses life when it fails to compete with its peers for reasons such as poor organisation, inefficient management and malfeasance. It also loses life when its business becomes unviable for reasons such as innovation. Creative destruction often destroys more companies than it creates! Resilience and adaptation, R&D, risk management, sustainable business model, visionary leadership, preparedness for unknowns, etc, minimise threats. There is, however, no governance norm to have such strategies.

The law provides for layers of security to protect the life of a company. A board of directors appoints/supervises the executive management and replaces it in accordance with contractual arrangements, in case of failure. Shareholders elect directors to the board, monitor their performance and replace them in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, if they fail to perform. A promising set of shareholders may replace the existing set through the market for corporate control. The creditors step in to rescue the company in accordance with the provisions of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, when shareholders fail.

The IBC empowers creditors, represented by a committee of creditors (CoC), to rescue a company, when it experiences threats. For this, the CoChas a trishul: (1) it takes or causes a haircut of any amount to any or all stakeholders required for rescuing the company; (2) it seeks the best resolution from the market, unlike earlier mechanisms that allowed creditors to find a resolution only from existing promoters; and(3) the resolution plan can provide for any measure that rescues the company. The IBC mandates consideration of only feasible and viable resolution plans, from capable and credible persons, to ensure sustained life of a company.

The CoC is duty-bound to detect distress well in time, take over its reins through an insolvency proceeding where they do not have a more efficient remedy, run it as a going concern, preserve its underlying value, visualise possible options forvalue enhancement, retrieve the value lost on account of irregular transactions, curtail the rights of stakeholders to the extent necessary, create a market for competing, feasible and viable resolution plans from capable and credible people, and approve the best of them that sustains the life of the company while maximising the value of its assets and balancing the interests of all stakeholders. Theymust rescue a failing, but viable company, irrespective of whose company it is.

